

The art of doing mathematics consists in finding that special case which contains all the germs of generality. - David Hilbert.

Question 1. Fermat's little theorem says that if p is prime and $\gcd(2, p) = 1$, then $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. However, the converse is not true: if m is a number, $\gcd(2, m) = 1$, and $2^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, this **does not imply** that m is a prime number. A number m is called a 2-pseudoprime if (a) m is composite, and (b) $2^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Show that 341 is a 2-pseudoprime, i.e., show that $2^{340} \equiv 1 \pmod{341}$, but 341 is a composite number.

Solution:

The number 341 is a 2-pseudoprime is 341 is composite and $2^{340} \equiv 1 \pmod{341}$. First, let us factor 341. Clearly, $341 < 19^2$ therefore $\sqrt{341} < 19$. Thus, 341 must have a prime divisor less than 19. The divisibility test for 11 shows that $341 \equiv 3 + 4 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$, so it is divisible by 11. Thus $341 = 11 \cdot 31$.

Now we calculate $2^{340} \pmod{341}$. We can calculate:

$$\phi(341) = \phi(11)\phi(31) = 300$$

thus, by Euler's theorem $2^{300} \equiv 1 \pmod{341}$ and

$$2^{340} \equiv 2^{300} \cdot 2^{40} \equiv 2^{40} \pmod{341}.$$

Finally, since $40 = 32 + 8$, we calculate some powers of 2:

$$2, 2^2 \equiv 4, 2^4 \equiv 16, 2^8 \equiv 256, 2^{16} \equiv 64, 2^{32} \equiv 4 \pmod{341}$$

Hence:

$$2^{340} \equiv 2^{40} \equiv 2^{32} \cdot 2^8 \equiv 4 \cdot 256 \equiv 1 \pmod{341}.$$

Question 2.

(a) Verify that if n is composite, i.e., $n = ab$, then the polynomial $x^n - 1$ factors as

$$x^n - 1 = (x^b - 1)(x^{b(a-1)} + x^{b(a-2)} + \cdots + x^b + 1).$$

(b) Show that if n is composite, then $m = 2^n - 1$ is also composite.

(c) Show that if n is a 2-pseudoprime, then $m = 2^n - 1$ is also a 2-pseudoprime.

(d) Use part (c) to show that there are infinitely many 2-pseudoprimes.

Solution:

1. Simply multiplying the polynomials proves the identity. Otherwise, note that $x^a - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{a-1} + \cdots + x + 1)$ and substitute x by x^b .

2. By the previous identity, if $n = ab$, with $1 < a, b < n$, then

$$m = 2^n - 1 = 2^{ab} - 1 = (2^b - 1)(2^{b(a-1)} + 2^{b(a-2)} + \cdots + 2^b + 1).$$

Since $a, b > 1$, both factors are > 1 , and therefore $m = 2^n - 1$ is composite.

3. Suppose n is a 2-pseudoprime. Then, n is composite and $2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. By the previous part, $m = 2^n - 1$ is composite as well, so we only need to show that $2^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Since $2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, this implies that there is some $k \geq 1$ such that $2^{n-1} - 1 = nk$. Now,

$$2^{m-1} \equiv 2^{(2^n-1)-1} \equiv 2^{2^n-2} \equiv 2^{2(2^{n-1}-1)} \equiv 2^{2nk} \equiv (2^n)^{2k} \equiv 1^{2k} \equiv 1 \pmod{(2^n - 1)},$$

where we have used the fact that $2^n \equiv 1 \pmod{(2^n - 1)}$. Thus, $2^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, and m is composite, and this shows that m is a 2-pseudoprime.

4. We just showed that if n passes the 2-pseudoprime test then $2^n - 1$ does also. Moreover, if n is composite then $2^n - 1$ is composite. Thus, let n be a 2-pseudoprime (such as 341), so that n is composite and it passes the 2-pseudoprime test. Then $2^n - 1$ is composite and it passes the 2-pseudoprime test, and therefore it is a 2-pseudoprime. Hence, the numbers in the sequence:

$$A_0 = 341, \quad A_{n+1} = 2^{A_n} - 1$$

are infinitely many 2-pseudoprimes.

Question 3. A Carmichael number is a composite positive integer m such that $b^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ for all integers b which are relatively prime to m .

- (a) Show that 561 is a 2-pseudoprime and a 5-pseudoprime, i.e., show that

$$2^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}, \quad \text{and} \quad 5^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}.$$

- (b) Show that $b^{80} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$, for all b relatively prime to 561. (Hint: Use Fermat's little theorem.)
- (c) Use part (b) to conclude that 561 is a Carmichael number. (In fact, 561 is the smallest Carmichael number.)
- (d) Prove that 1105 is also a Carmichael number. (1105 is the second Carmichael number.)

Solution:

1. The number $561 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$ is composite. Moreover, $2^2 \equiv 5^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $2^{10} \equiv 5^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, and $2^{16} \equiv 5^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$, by Fermat's little theorem. In particular, $2^{80} \equiv 5^{80} \equiv 1 \pmod{3, 11 \text{ and } 17}$, because 2, 10 and 16 are divisors of 80. Thus, by the Chinese remainder theorem, $2^{80} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$. Since $560 = 80 \cdot 7$ it follows that

$$2^{560} \equiv (2^{80})^7 \equiv 1^7 \equiv 1 \pmod{561},$$

and similarly $5^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$. Hence, the number 561 is a 2-pseudoprime and also a 5-pseudoprime.

2. If b is relatively prime to $561 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$, it follows from Fermat's little theorem that $b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $b^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, and $b^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$. In particular, $b^{80} \equiv 1 \pmod{3, 11 \text{ and } 17}$, because 2, 10 and 16 are divisors of 80. Thus, by the Chinese remainder theorem, $b^{80} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$.

3. Hence, 561 is a Carmichael number, because it is composite and $b^{560} \equiv (b^{80})^7 \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ for all b relatively prime to 561.
4. Similarly, $1105 = 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$ is composite. If b is relatively prime to 1105, then it follows from Fermat's little theorem that $b^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, $b^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$, and $b^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$. In particular, $b^{48} \equiv 1 \pmod{5, 13 \text{ and } 17}$, because 4, 12 and 16 are divisors of 48. Thus, by the Chinese remainder theorem, $b^{48} \equiv 1 \pmod{1105}$. Finally, since $1104 = 48 \cdot 23$, it follows that

$$b^{1104} \equiv (b^{48})^{23} \equiv 1 \pmod{1105}$$

for all b relatively prime to 1105. Hence, 1105 is also a Carmichael number.

Question 4. Show that for any prime p the polynomial $x^p - x$ factors as

$$x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-(p-1))$$

over $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$. Check that this works for $p = 5$.

Solution:

Let $f(x) = x^5 - x$. Recall that by the root theorem, if $f(a \pmod{5}) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ then $(x - a)$ divides $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Moreover, by Fermat's little theorem, we know that $a^5 \equiv a \pmod{5}$, for all $a \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \pmod{5}$. Therefore, $a \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \pmod{5}$ are all roots of $x^5 - x$ and, hence, $(x - a)$ divides $x^5 - x$ for $a = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, in $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Since $(x-0)(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)(x-4)$ is a monic polynomial of degree 5 that divides $x^5 - x$, they must be equal. Hence:

$$x^5 - x \equiv x(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)(x-4) \pmod{5}.$$

Let now $f(x) = x^p - x$. Recall that by the root theorem, if $f(a \pmod{p}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then $(x - a)$ divides $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Moreover, by Fermat's little theorem, we know that $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$, for all $a \equiv 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1 \pmod{p}$. Therefore, $a \equiv 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1 \pmod{p}$ are all roots of $x^p - x$ and, hence, $(x - a)$ divides $x^p - x$ for $a = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1$, in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Since $(x-0)(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-(p-1))$ is a monic polynomial of degree p that divides $x^p - x$, they must be equal. Hence:

$$x^p - x \equiv x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-(p-1)) \pmod{p}.$$

Question 5. Prove that 74 is a primitive root modulo 89.

Solution:

First we show that 2 has order 11 modulo 89. Notice that if we show that $2^{11} \equiv 1 \pmod{89}$, then the order must be 11 because the order would divide 11 and it is clearly not just 1, so it must be 11. In order to show that $2^{11} \equiv 1 \pmod{89}$, notice that

$$2^6 \equiv 64 \equiv -25 \equiv -(5^2) \pmod{89}.$$

Moreover $5^4 \equiv (25^2) \equiv 625 \equiv 2 \pmod{89}$. Therefore:

$$2^{12} \equiv (2^6)^2 \equiv (-5^2)^2 \equiv 5^4 \equiv 2 \pmod{89}$$

and so, $2^{11} \equiv 1 \pmod{89}$.

Next we show that 37 has order 8 modulo 89. Calculate $37^2 \equiv 34 \pmod{89}$ and $34^2 \equiv 88 \equiv -1 \pmod{89}$. Therefore $37^8 \equiv (37^4)^2 \equiv (-1)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{89}$.

Finally, since $\text{ord}(2) = 11$, $\text{ord}(37) = 8$ and $(11, 8) = 1$, it follows that $\text{ord}(74) = \text{ord}(2 \cdot 37) = 11 \cdot 8 = 88 = 89 - 1$. Hence, 74 is a primitive root modulo 89.

Question 6. Find a primitive root modulo 61.

Solution:

Let us check that 2 is a primitive root modulo 61. Thus, we need to check that the order of 2 is exactly 60. Notice that the order of 2 must be a divisor of $60 = 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$, so the possible orders are: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 15, 20, 30, 60. We need to check that $2^d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{61}$ for all $d = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 15, 20, 30$ but $2^{60} \equiv 1 \pmod{61}$ (the last congruence is, of course, a result of Fermat's little theorem and it doesn't need to be checked).

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &\not\equiv 1 \pmod{61}, \\ 2^2 &\equiv 4 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{61}, \\ 2^3 &\equiv 8 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{61}, \\ 2^4 &\equiv 16 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{61}, \\ 2^5 &\equiv 32 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{61}, \\ 2^6 &\equiv 64 \equiv 3 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{61}, \\ 2^{10} &\equiv 2^6 \cdot 2^4 \equiv 3 \cdot 16 \equiv 48 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{61}, \\ 2^{12} &\equiv 2^{10} \cdot 2^2 \equiv 48 \cdot 4 \equiv 192 \equiv 9 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{61}, \\ 2^{15} &\equiv 2^{12} \cdot 2^3 \equiv 9 \cdot 8 \equiv 11 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{61}, \\ 2^{20} &\equiv 2^{15} \cdot 2^5 \equiv 11 \cdot 32 \equiv 352 \equiv 47 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{61}, \\ 2^{30} &\equiv (2^{15})^2 \equiv 11^2 \equiv 121 \equiv -1 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{61}, \\ 2^{60} &\equiv (2^{30})^2 \equiv (-1)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{61}. \end{aligned}$$

Question 7. Find a primitive root modulo 73.

Solution:

We begin by calculating the order of 2 modulo 73. Notice that the possible orders are the divisors of $72 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2$, which are: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 18, 24, 36, 72. After some calculations, we find that $2^9 \equiv 1 \pmod{73}$ and not before. Thus, the order of 2 is 9, not a primitive root.

Let us try 3 next. After the appropriate calculations, we find that $3^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{73}$ and not before. Therefore the order is 12. Since $(12, 9) = 3$, we use 3 to find another congruence of order 4. Since 3 has order 12 then $3^3 = 27$ must have order 4. Now, if we had instead an element a of order 8, then we would be almost done because $2a$ would have order $8 \cdot 9 = 72$. Since 27 has order 4, if we have a such that $a^2 \equiv 27$ then a would have order 8. So we try to find a root of $x^2 \equiv 27 \pmod{73}$. It turns out that $10^2 \equiv 27 \pmod{73}$. And we can check that the order of 10 is precisely 8 modulo 73.

Since 8 and 9 are relatively prime, and $\text{ord}(2) = 9$, $\text{ord}(10) = 8$, it turns out that $\text{ord}(20) = \text{ord}(2 \cdot 10) = 8 \cdot 9 = 72$, by a result in class. Therefore, 20 is a primitive root modulo 73.

Question 8. Let p be an odd prime. Show that if b is a primitive root modulo p then

$$b^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

Solution:

Let p be an odd prime, let b be a primitive root modulo p , notice that $(p-1)/2$ is an integer (because p is odd) and put

$$a \equiv b^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p}.$$

First, we claim that $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Indeed:

$$a^2 \equiv (b^{(p-1)/2})^2 \equiv b^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

by Fermat's little theorem. However, we know that $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ has only two solutions, namely ± 1 . But since b is a primitive root, we cannot have $b^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ because this would contradict the fact that the order of b is precisely $p-1$. Therefore, $a \equiv b^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ as claimed.

Question 9. Prove Wilson's theorem using the fact that there exists a primitive root modulo p . (Hint: suppose that g is a primitive root mod p , and write every unit as a power of g .)

Solution:

Let p be an odd prime and let b be a primitive root modulo p . Then the order of b is precisely $p-1$ and, therefore, every unit $1, 2, \dots, p-1$ modulo p can be expressed as one of the powers:

$$b, b^2, b^3, \dots, b^{p-1} \pmod{p}.$$

Therefore, $\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ and $\{b, b^2, \dots, b^{p-1}\}$ are both complete systems of representatives of the units modulo p and so:

$$(p-1)! \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \equiv b \cdot b^2 \cdots b^{p-1} \equiv b^{1+2+\cdots+(p-1)} \equiv b^{p(p-1)/2} \equiv (b^{(p-1)/2})^p \equiv (-1)^p \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$

modulo p , where we have used the previous problem (i.e., $b^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ when b is a primitive root modulo p) and the equality $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Alternatively, let p be an odd prime, and let b be a primitive root modulo p . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)! &\equiv b \cdot b^2 \cdots b^{p-1} \\ &\equiv (b \cdot b^{p-1})(b^2 \cdot b^{p-2}) \cdots (b^{(p-1)/2} \cdot b^{(p+1)/2}) \\ &\equiv b^p \cdot b^p \cdots b^p \\ &\equiv b \cdot b \cdots b \\ &\equiv b^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Fermat's little theorem to show that $b^p \equiv b \pmod{p}$ (or the fact that the order of b is $p-1$), and the solution of the previous problem (i.e., $b^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ when b is a primitive root modulo p).